01/10/2005 15:29 FAX 17349946331 BRINKS, HOFER, ET AL **2**1006

Appin. No. 10/040,864

Attorney Docket No. 10541-502

II. Remarks

Reconsideration and re-examination of this application in view of the

above amendments and the following remarks is herein respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant acknowledges the Examiner's indication that claims 50-51 would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims. The subject matter of claim 50 has been

incorporated into its base claim, claim 43.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

Claim 53 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which Applicant regards as the invention. Claim 53 has been amended to

indicate the first polarization scrambling material comprises an enhanced diffuser

reflector, thereby particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject

matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Accordingly, Applicants

respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112.

BRINKS

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395

Chicago, IL 60611-5599

Appin. No. 10/040,864

Attorney Docket No. 10541-502

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 43-44, 48, 54 and 56 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,909,604 to Kobayashi et al. (Kobayashi) in view of U.S. 2003/0164914A1 to Weber et al. (Weber).

Claims 45-47 and 57 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,909,604 to Kobayashi et al. (Kobayashi) in view of U.S. 2003/0164914A1 to Weber et al. (Weber) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,342,932B1 to Terao, et al. (Terao).

As mentioned above, claim 43 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 50, previously noted by the Examiner as includinglowable subject matter. Claims 44-48, 51, 53, and 54 depend from claim 43 and are, therefore, allowable for at least the reasons given in support of claim 43. Claims 56 and 57 have been cancelled.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of

BRINKS HOFER GILSON &LIDNE

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60611-5599 Appln. No. 10/040,864

Attorney Docket No. 10541-502

record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted by,

Dated: _____January 10, 2005

Robert K. Fergan Reg. No.: 51,674

Attorney for Applicant(s)

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610 (734) 302-6000



BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60611-5599